

This case has been approved for issuance of a patent. The single and sole drawing change requested by the Examiner was the addition of the words "Prior Art" to

Application No.: 09/141,964
Examiner: Wachsman, Hal
Filing Date: 08/28/98
Group Art Unit: 2857
Inventor: Marino, M.
Attorney Docket: SARA.85
For: LOW-POWER/WIDE BAND TRANSFER FUNCTION
Page -2-

Figure 1. See copy of drawing (Figure 1 and Figure 2) enclosed. This addition has been approved by the Examiner, see copy of "Office Action Summary" enclosed herein.

In a telephone call to your office on Wednesday, November 13, 2002 at about 11:30 a.m. PST, I spoke with a lady who advised me that the case was sitting on a shelf awaiting the drawing change referred to above. She said that if I sent it directly to your department, the secretary would insure the patent application proceeds to printing.

The issue fee has already been paid (August 9, 2002, \$640.00 plus \$30.00 for patent copies). I am sending a copy of this NOTICE OF APPROVED DRAWINGS (and the enclosures) to the Official Draftsman and to Examiner Hal Wachsman in Group Art Unit 2857 in order to advise everyone concerned with this matter.


Please see to it that this case proceeds to printing with the new Figure 1. **If there is anything more required of the applicant or the undersigned, that will cause this patent application to be further delayed, please notify the undersigned by telephone (call collect), or by fax, e-mail, or U.S. Mail at the numbers and addresses listed below.**

Application No.: 09/141,964
Examiner: Wachsman, Hal
Filing Date: 08/28/98
Group Art Unit: 2857
Inventor: Marino, M.
Attorney Docket: SARA.85
For: LOW-POWER/WIDE BAND TRANSFER FUNCTION
Page -3-

I thank you for your anticipated cooperation in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

MURPHEY & MURPHEY, APC

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'John J. Murphey', with a large, stylized loop at the end.

John J. Murphey, #24,896

Attorney for Applicant

701 Palomar Airport Road, Suite 260

Carlsbad, California 92009

Tel. 760-431-0091

Fax 760-431-9441

E-mail: Jmurphey@mmiplaw.com

Date: November 13, 2002

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/141,964

Applicant(s)

MARINO ET AL.

Examiner

Hal D Wachsman

Art Unit

2857

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 November 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☒ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 77-123 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 77-123 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers


- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☒ The proposed drawing correction filed on 06 August 2001 is: a) ☒ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.


Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:



PRIOR ART

Figure 1.



PRIOR ART

Figure 2.